



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Wayne Douglas Trantow

Serial No.: 10/777,568

Examiner: Delores R. Collins

Filed: February 12, 2004

Group Art Unit: 3711

For: COMPENSATING SKELETAL GEOMETRIC MODELING SYSTEM

Mailstop: AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reply by Inventor to Examiner's Final Rejection of April 11<sup>th</sup>, 2008 against the Amendment of December 13, 2007 as filed by Scott A. Schaffer of Marger Johnson & McCollum, P.C.

In the Final Rejection of April 11<sup>th</sup>, 2008 original claims 11-23 and new claims 29-30 of the December 13<sup>th</sup> amendment all stand rejected under 35 USC 102 and 103 as being anticipated by any one of the Gabriel, Brumlik and Ochrymowich references. The inventor (Trantow) submits that there are important and distinguishing design elements of the patent that are useful, non-obvious and novel and are patentably distinguishable from the cited prior art, and therefore respectfully requests of the examiner that all the above claims be allowed.

Reassertion of Existing Claims and Remarks

The inventor reasserts the validity of claims 11-23 and 29-30 as they are currently stand. The inventor also reasserts the validity of the Remarks made in the December 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment as they stand and does think they need to be further amended. It is my intention in this reply to convey a deeper level of understanding of these claims, to show that they describe a novel, useful and non-obvious design that achieves a more practical and fundamentally better geometric modeling system than the designs of cited prior art.